



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.41, No.5

February 11th, 2005

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: What does the following remind you of? "Under the Saite kings, foreigners poured into Egypt and formed a growing number of permanent colonies. Many of these groups were no longer poor men – economic refugees – forming a category of second-class citizens who could be used for unskilled labour, as in the past. These immigrant colonies were often richer and more skilled than the native Egyptians: the proud kingdom of the 'Two Lands' was now technically backward compared to the rising cultures of the first millennium B.C. Indeed, the Saite kings, to raise taxes, even awarded privileges – forerunners of later 'capitulations' – to foreigners. At Naucratis, Greek traders were allowed a city of their own; a Greek-style polis, where Greek law, customs and religion flourished: not essentially unlike the older colonies of Magna Graecia now scattered about the Mediterranean coasts. Thus trade, once a royal monopoly, fell into alien hands. It was only a matter of time before the rest of the country became booty."

– *"The Civilisation of Ancient Egypt: Decline and Fall of the Pharaohs"* by Paul Johnson, 1978

THE PRINCE OF WALES TO VISIT US: The Prince of Wales will visit Australia from February 28th to March 5th, 2005. He will be travelling to Perth, Alice Springs, Melbourne, Sydney and Canberra.

The visit by His Royal Highness will focus on three major themes: environmental sustainability; excellence in public service; and community integration. He will use his visit to support environmental initiatives, sustainable fishing policies and urban regeneration projects.

The Prince will have the opportunity to meet a cross-section of Australians involved in a variety of projects of interest including medical staff, school teachers, sporting coaches, farmers and indigenous Australians.

In addition, the Prince will also attend a number of formal and informal receptions. There will also be a number of opportunities for the public to meet His Royal Highness.

Further details of the visit will be released closer to the time. His Royal Highness will travel on to New Zealand and Fiji from Australia. This will be the Prince of Wales's thirteenth visit to our great land.

According to constitutional convention The Prince of Wales nor Her Majesty The Queen can visit Australia unless invited to do so by the Government; which in itself is testimony that we are a totally independent and sovereign nation subject only to the will of the Australian people.

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *The Prince Of Wales To Visit Us* • *Democracy On Its Death Bed?* • *This Democracy And The Telstra Campaign* • *Now's The Time To Exercise Your Freedom* • *Endless War*

"ON TARGET"

February 11th, 2005

Page 1 (17)

DEMOCRACY ON ITS DEATH BED? by James Reed: John Fonte in an interesting article "A World of Difference," published in *The Weekend Australian*, 15-16/1/05, has isolated the activities of a group of global elites as more dangerous to the survival of democracy than Islamic terrorists. Fonte is director of the Centre for American Common Culture and a senior fellow at the Hudson Institute in Washington. His full paper "Democracy's Trojan Horse" is at the Hudson website: ww.Hudson.org/files/publications/fonte-national-interest-summer-04.pdf.

Democracy, he argues, is slowly but surely being replaced by a form of global governance by a trans-national bureaucracy. Common to this bureaucracy is the ideology of human rights which is determined by international law. International institutions such as the UN and the International Criminal Court administer the international agreements and laws.

International non-government organisations (NGOs) represent "global civil society" and work with international institutions to develop new norms for global governance. The whole unholy system is run by trans-national elites including international lawyers and judges, NGO activists, UN officials and global corporate leaders. These elites have a common "progressive" ideology of supporting "the other" minorities, the "oppressed" and those of like ilk.

The system does not *technically* constitute world government, but is effectively world government by the back door. The authority of nation states are "increasingly circumscribed by the growing strength of the global institutions, laws, rules, networks and ideological norms".

The same message has been delivered previously by numerous other conservative writers, who have been branded as "extremist" for their insight. In any case, the enemy is now clearly in sight – it is the "post democracy" elites who are attempting to bring about a rule of the international elites. **Therein lies our struggle!**

THE EMERGENCE OF A SOCIAL DYNAMIC by Betty Luks: Early in the 20th century the idea of 'democracy' was just 'there'; all were content to accept the then current usage of the term. But if asked to define the term the enquirer would get as many definitions and there were people asked.

It took the genius of Clifford Hugh Douglas to subject this thing called 'democracy' to analysis, to take it apart and analyse its constituent parts, and then reconstruct it again into a coherent and understandable 'whole'.

He saw that it was in the 'social credit' of the community that the solution was to be found.

He grasped that there is such a power, but it is based on knowledge and understanding - and the exercising of that power.

And it was hoped the revelation and understanding of this truth would lead to a rebirth of those spiritual realities which underlay the greatest achievements of our race, our people, our culture.

If only they could realise the fact, the truth, that inherent in any association of people coming together for an agreed purpose (if its individual members pool their *individual* resources and power) the increments, the benefits, deriving from that association are far greater than any member could ever achieve individually.

"Of course," I can hear you say, "anybody knows that! That's as plain as the nose on your face! That's not a new concept!"

It was a new concept for politics: Yes, people had discovered the benefits, the increments resulting from the division of labour in the production system, but in the early 20th century it hadn't quite sunk in that the same principle could be applied in and through the political institutions and systems, which had evolved over the centuries into a parliamentary system.

Yes, the people had fought for their rights and liberties, but the democratic principle had been perverted and twisted 'out of truth'; the elector in the 1930s had no opportunity of stating what he wanted, and had less hope of seeing his wishes put into effect. The people who lived in what were described as 'democracies', in fact, lived in elected dictatorships!

Douglas wrote on democracy and the policies of the people – not parties.

"I am convinced (it) is the truth, that dictatorships representing the power of millions of people must be disastrous if the dictators are in charge of policy.

It is quite possible to have all the power of a unified dictatorship and yet to have control over it in such a manner that its policy can be rapidly changed. if it is recognised that the dictatorship is merely functional, and not one of initiative," wrote Clifford Hugh Douglas in "*The Fig Tree*" No.2 Sept. 1936. (emphasis added...ed)

He explained that freedom is a real thing. It is the most important thing at stake in the world today and it is absolutely essential that we understand its nature.

"It is the power," he continued, "to choose or refuse one thing at a time. It is the power to choose whether you will play cricket or whether you will play golf, or whether you will play neither. Quite emphatically it is not the power of *the non-player* to change the rules of cricket or golf; that is not freedom, that is tyranny."

Many Australians watched the brilliant tennis matches played out during the recent Australian Open Championships. Those who followed Lleyton Hewett's matches know he was penalised for breaching the tennis code of ethics – he *breached* the rules. He didn't set out to *change* the rules but was penalised for *breaching* them.

In the above article, James Reed highlights the way our parliamentary representatives have changed the rules. IT IS NOT THEIR PLACE TO CHANGE THE RULES! IT IS ONLY ON THE CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY OF THE PEOPLE OF AUSTRALIA CAN THE RULES BE CHANGED!!!

But nothing is going to happen, the direction of this nation is not going to be turned around UNTIL the people of Australia take their representatives to task AND INSIST ON THEM KNOWING WHAT IS *THEIR WILL*...AND MAKING THEIR PAID REPRESENTATIVES ACT UPON IT AND GET RESULTS.

THIS DEMOCRACY AND THE TELSTRA CAMPAIGN: This *real democracy* is concerned with the root of power, and it is the power drawn from the elector's will! It is the *common ground* where we meet our fellow Australian. It is in our aspirations, our objectives, our ruling policy that we find *unity*.

Just verbalising 'freedom and democracy' is not the *real* thing. The words are only the symbols for the *dynamics* which must be applied, through the right channels, which demonstrates an aspect of that real thing we call *freedom* and its *democratic* process.

It is only when the elector's will is applied to get the results wanted does democracy become *real*! It is in the application of the *real dynamic* – the instruction of the parliamentary representative what is the will of the elector on a certain issue, with the insistence he represent the elector's interests in the matter that real democracy can be claimed to exist.

If this demand for what people do, in fact, want, can be demonstrated, if the desire can be acted upon sufficiently urgently and forcefully, through the right channel which has been built, over many centuries, for the true and proper demand to be acted upon *then does freedom and democracy become real! That is real democracy!*

Although your parliamentary representative now thinks he is there to represent the will of his political Party Whip, he needs to be disabused, i.e., freed from such an erroneous belief of such a false notion!

And the Telstra issue, if acted upon by enough electors, is one issue which will demonstrate the above truths more than mere words ever can!

Telstra must remain an asset of the Australian people! But for this to happen, each and every elector reading this article must exercise his *own* authority and power and inform his Local, State and Federal government Representative, especially his own State Senators, it is his will they come against any moves to sell off the remaining shares, which are in fact the assets of the Australian people!

Thanks to one of our most prolific letter writers, Ron Fischer now of Sebastapool, Victoria, we know 75 per cent of the people surveyed by the *Herald Sun* newspaper 21/1/05, are opposed to the sale.

Ron immediately wrote to Senator J.M. Troeth informing the good senator, not only did 75 per cent of the Victorians surveyed oppose the sale of Telstra, but so did he, and reminded him of his constitutional duty.

NOW'S THE TIME TO EXERCISE YOUR FREEDOM: Included with this week's *On Target* is a list of the federal Senators; parliamentarians who are responsible to the people of their own States. If you believe that Telstra belongs to the Australian people and that the current government has no right to sell it off to a foreign company, then you must exercise your constitutional authority and inform your state senators of what you want!

We cannot exercise your freedom for you! You must do that! The alternative is that someone else will do it for you... and that is servitude.

ENDLESS WAR by James Reed: Neo-con intellectuals are divided about the meaning of president Bush's January 20th inaugural speech. Bush said: "The survival of liberty in our land increasingly depends on the success of liberty in other lands."

William Kristol, neocon editor of the "*Weekly Standard*" saw the speech as historic as if "actions follow words and success greets his efforts, then President Bush will have ushered in a new era in American foreign policy". And what is that new era? With some White House sources pointing to Iran as the US's next terrorist nation to be "taken out", and with threats that Israel will do this anyway and allow the US to sort out the mess, the words of Pat Buchanan in "*The New York Times*" are prophetic: Bush has claimed "a right to intervene in the internal affairs of every nation on earth, and that is, quite simply, a recipe for endless war".

During the inaugural parade Bush flashed a "hook 'em horns" sign. The hand sign is the traditional Horns football salute. In Norway, the sign is considered that of the devil; in Russia a sign of the "New Russians" – the newly rich and poorly educated and in Mediterranean countries the sign implies that a man is a cuckold. Which description best fits Bush?